

## **Rules for rewarding doctoral dissertations with distinction in the discipline of economics and finance**

1. Doctoral dissertation with distinction should bring significant added value in the discipline of economic sciences and finance.
2. The indicator of significant added value should be whether the doctoral dissertation allowed for the preparation of publications for which a total of at least 200 points are awarded in the assessment of the Ministry of Education and Science, based on the relevant lists of scientific journals and publishers publishing peer-reviewed scientific monographs, valid on the day of the defense of doctoral dissertation.
3. The number of points indicated in section 2 [i.e. 200 points] includes publications for which the publication received at least 70 points in internationally recognized scientific journals in the discipline of economics and finance. The form of a peer-reviewed scientific monograph is also acceptable.
4. For the publications referred to in section 2 and 3, may include items that have not been published but have been accepted for publication or are at the "Revise and Resubmit" stage in scientific journals or publishing houses. In exceptional cases, works that have a real chance of publication in the opinion of the Doctoral Committee may also be included.
5. In the case of co-authorship, the qualitative and quantitative contribution of candidate should be taken into account, which should be clearly defined and include significant participation in creating the research concept and in conducting it.
6. Doctoral thesis may be distinguished if at least one review includes an explicit request from the reviewer to distinguish the dissertation (before the commencement of the doctoral defense), or during the defense, in a confidential part, such a request is submitted by any member of the Doctoral Committee (excluding supervisor).
7. Information about the publications indicated in section 2, together with the confirmation of the doctoral student's contribution by the co-authors in the case of co-authorship, the doctoral student submits to the Doctoral Committee using the forms constituting Annexes 1 and 2 to the resolution. The doctoral student submits completed forms with current information to the Chairman of the Doctoral Committee between the 14th and 7th day before the scheduled defense date.
8. The Doctoral Committee should strive to ensure that the average share of distinguished doctoral theses in the long term (over four years) does not exceed 30%.
9. The Scientific Council is informed about the fulfillment of the above conditions by the works proposed for distinction, in particular about publications that were prepared as part of or on the basis of a doctoral thesis, and in the case of co-authorship, about the quantitative and qualitative contribution of the doctoral student.